

## My Team is AFF/NEG

Resolution \_\_\_\_\_

Who is the (name):

Opener \_\_\_\_\_

Rebuttal \_\_\_\_\_

Closer \_\_\_\_\_

**DON'T BE A HATER;  
BE A DEBATER!**

Remember to be respectful to the opposing position!

### Checklist

- o Every member of my team has contributed to writing the opening, closing, and prepping bullet points for the rebuttal
- o Our team has three clear, specific claims to support my argument
- o Our argument is well-organized and logical
- o Our team has evidence for every claim
- o Our team has included at least one counter-argument in our rebuttal
- o Our team completely understands our position on the resolution
- o We are prepared to debate!

### Individual Grade:

#### Opening

\_\_\_\_ Presents the resolution/overarching argument of the team (3)

\_\_\_\_ Lists the major claims and evidences for their position (5)

10 Summarizes position by rephrasing their resolution/argument (2)

#### Rebuttal

\_\_\_\_ Addresses every counter argument presented from other team(5)

\_\_\_\_ Restates major points of their position (3)

10 Gives reasons why the judge should dismiss the opposition's counter-arguments (2)

#### Close

\_\_\_\_ Accurately summarizes major points, including how the team addressed the rebuttal (5)

\_\_\_\_ Points back to claims and evidenced used (3)

10 Summarizes why judge should vote for their position (2)

# Class Debate Rubric

Category	5	4	3	2	1	Totals
Respect for Other Team	All statements, body language, and responses were respectful and were in appropriate language.	Statements and responses were respectful and used appropriate language, but once or twice body language was not.	Most statements and responses were respectful and in appropriate language, but there was one sarcastic remark.	Statements, responses and/or body language were borderline appropriate. Some sarcastic remarks.	Statements, responses and/or body language were consistently not respectful.	
Information	All information presented in this debate was clear, accurate and thorough.	Most information presented in this debate was clear, accurate and thorough.	Most information presented in the debate was clear and accurate, but was not usually thorough.	Some information was accurate, but there were some minor inaccuracies.	Information had some major inaccuracies OR was usually not clear.	
Rebuttal	All counter-arguments were accurate, relevant and strong.	Most counter-arguments were accurate, relevant, and strong.	Most counter-arguments were accurate and relevant, but several were weak.	Some counter arguments were weak and irrelevant.	Counter-arguments were not accurate and/or relevant.	
Use of Facts/Statistics	Every major point was well supported with several relevant facts, statistics and/or examples.	Every major point was adequately supported with relevant facts, statistics and/or examples.	Every major point was supported with facts, statistics and/or examples, but the relevance of some was questionable.	Some points were supported well, others were not.	Every point was not supported.	
Organization	All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion.	Most arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion.	All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) but the organization was sometimes not clear or logical.	Arguments were not tied well to an idea.	Arguments were not tied to an idea at all.	
Understanding of Topic	The team clearly understood the topic in-depth and presented their information forcefully and convincingly.	The team clearly understood the topic in-depth and presented their information with ease.	The team seemed to understand the main points of the topic and presented those with ease.	The team seemed to understand the main points of the topic, but didn't present with ease.	The team did not show an adequate understanding of the topic.	
Presentation Style	Team consistently used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience.	Team usually used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience.	Team sometimes used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience.	One or more members of the team had a presentation style that did not keep attention.	The team's presentation style did not keep the attention of the audience.	
Totals:						135